UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,867	11/12/2003	James McLennan	1528	6733
4518 ROBERT W. J.	7590 09/19/200 USHER	EXAMINER		
PATENT AGE		BAROT, BHARAT		
1133 BROADW NEW YORK, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/706,867	MCLENNAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Bharat N. Barot	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			04400				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,17-28 and 33-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.	·	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13.							
	/Dhoust N. Dough!						
	/Bharat N Barot/ Primary Examiner, Art U (571) 272-3979	nit 2155					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant filed amendment after final rejection by adding a limitation the initial communication indicates that human modifaction is required or not required and treating the initial communication based on the indication in claim 1, which required further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to all independent claims towards final rejection filed on July 28, 2008 have been considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive and the final rejection is respectfully maintained as set forth in the last office action mailed on May 12, 2008.

Argument: In the prior art there is no step of "optionally passing the communication to be modified", only a step of complusorily passing the communication to be modified.

Response: Powers explicitly teaches the step ofL reviewing the initial communication and optionally passing the communication to be modified to become a final communication in response to the extracted storage data (see figures 3B-C; column 6 line 36 to column 7 line 54; and column 16 lines 36-55); therefore, the combination of Powers and Pollack teaches the claimed invention and the final rejection is respectfully maintained as set forth in the last office action mailed on May 12, 2008.